OIPE SEP 3 0 2002 H

10-01-02

GP 3752

Please the a plus sign (+) inside this box\_\_\_\_\_\_

PTO/SB/21 (08-00)

Approved for use through 10/31/2002. OMB 0651-0031

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paper I	Reduction Act of 1995, no	persons are require	ed to respond to a collection of in	ormation unless it displays a valid	I OMB control number.	
		Applicati n Number	09/593,360			
TRANSMITTAL		Filing Date	Jun 14, 2000			
		First Named Inventor	Crabtree, Dennis W.	et al		
FORM (to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)		Group Art Unit	3752			
(to be used for all concepting the area area.		Examiner Name	C. Kim			
Total Number of Pages in This Submission		ion	Attorney Docket Number	0110SS-44500		
ENCLOSURES (check all that apply)						
Fee Transmittal Form		Assignm (for an A	nent Papers Application)	After Allowance Communication to Group		
Fee Atta	Fee Attached Drawing		(s)	Appeal Communication of Appeals and Interf	on to Board erences	
Amendment / Reply		Licensing-related Papers			Appeal Communication to Group (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief)	
After Final		X Petition		Proprietary Informat	Proprietary Information	
Affidavits/declaration(s)		Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application		Status Letter	Status Letter	
Extension of Time Request		Power of Attorney, Revocation Change of Correspondence Address		Other Enclosure(s) (please identify below):		
Express Abandonment Request		Terminal Disclaimer		Return Receipt Postcard		
Information Disclosure Statement		Request for Refund		Certificate of Ma	Certificate of Mailing	
Certified Copy of Priority Document(s)		CD, Number of CD(s)				
Response to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application		Remarks The Commissioner is authorized to any underpayment to		thorized to charge any ov to Deposit Account No. 5	erpayment or 50-1753	
Response to Missing Parts		(0110SS-44500).		RE	ECEIVED	
under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53				f	10T 0 7 2002	
SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT						
Firm or Sue Z. Shaper						
Individual name 22929					2929	
Signature Sur She		1 The	PATENT TRADEMICK OFFICE			
Date 9/30/02						
CERTIFICATE OF MAILING  CERTIFICATE OF MAILING  CERTIFICATE OF MAILING						
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class (Eq. Hux) mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231 on this date:						
Type or printed name VALERIE SAVOY						
Signature  Date  9-30-02  Burden Hour Statement: This form is estimated to take 0.2 hours to coordinate. Time will vary depending upon the needs of the individual case. Any comments					02	
Burden Hour Statement: Th	is form is estimated to tak	e 0.2°hours to co√	hplete. Time will vary depending	pon the needs of the individual cas	e. Any comments on the	

Burden Hour Statement: This form is estiffrated to take 0.2\*hours to coordinate. Time will vary depending upon the needs of the individual case. Any confine its of the amount of time your are required to complete this form should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, Washington, DC 20231. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, DC 20231.



## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant(s): Crabtree, et al.

Application No.: 09/593,360

Filed: 6/14/2000

Title: Fire Fighting Nozzle and Method Including Pressure Regulation, Chemical and Eduction Features

(CIP)

Attorney Docket No.: 44500

Assistant Commissioner for Patents Washington, D.C. 20231

Group Art Unit: 3752

Examiner:

C. Kim

10/8/02 Notewer

RECEIVED

OCT 07 2002

TECHNOLOGY CENTER R3700

Dear Sir:

In response to the Office Action of August 2, 2002, please amend this application as follows:

**RESPONSE UNDER 37 CFR 1.111** 

## In the claims:

Please amend the claims in accordance with the attached claim sheets.

## **PETITION**

Applicant respectfully requests, and petitions, that the finality of the prior action be removed. Applicant had no notice, prior to the above referenced action, of how the Examiner was applying Klein to at least two elements of the independent claims (in his anticipation rejection of claims 12-18 and 39-43.) It appears from the recent action that the Examiner is applying an inherency argument in regard to these two elements. This position was stated for the first time in the last action made final. Of this position applicant could have had no notice. Furthermore, some rejections in the above referenced action are made for the first time to original claims, and some rejections require clarification.